Empirical Analysis of the Effects of Rain on Measured Freeway Traffic Parameters
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Abstract. This paper describes an analysis of hourly rain and traffic parameters (speed and flow) at several locations on northbound Interstate 5 in Portland, Oregon using data collected over three years and based on a platform of archived freeway speed, flow, incident and weather data. The study aims to quantify a possible measurable effect of rainfall on traffic speed and flow. First, the connection between rainfall conditions and incidents is studied. It is shown that the presence of incidents slightly influences the analysis of the effects of rain on measured traffic flow. After removing periods affected by incidents, traffic data are examined under different rainfall conditions. During uncongested hourly periods, at the locations analyzed, a significant difference was noted between speed and flow under different rainfall conditions. During congested periods, the flow and speed differences observed were not significant. Further analysis is described during congested periods. Finally, a macroscopic analysis is used to display possible effects of rainfall on the traffic flow fundamental diagram. In the context of intelligent transportation systems, analyses such as these may lead to improved weather-responsive applications in traffic management and information.

INTRODUCTION

Adverse weather is the second largest cause of non-recurring congestion, accounting for about 25% of freeway delays. Thus, about 1 billion hours are lost each year in the U.S. due to weather-related delays. It is also estimated that weather affects about one third of the nation’s Gross Domestic Product (GDP) (1). The Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) is advancing advisory, control, and management strategies that include information dissemination and methods to regulate or optimize traffic flow, and ensure that roads are clear of obstructions. Adverse weather is often considered as an external factor that can affect freeway traffic operations. The Highway Capacity Manual (HCM) (2) considers that adverse weather can reduce free-flow speeds and uses evidence that different weather conditions can impact the form of speed-flow relationships. The FHWA has launched a “Road Weather Management Program” that aims to improve mobility and safety by alleviating the impacts of weather on the surface transportation system (3). Adverse weather may also have a greater effect on traffic flow as congestion worsens in urban metropolitan areas (4). Pisano and Goodwin considered weather conditions to be predictable, nonrecurring incidents that contribute to congestion by reducing capacity and speed over a given time (5). This approach aids in the design and implementation of weather-responsive strategies that aim to improve mobility and safety. There are several case studies of successful operational strategies of weather-responsive traffic management (6). The aim of this study is to analyze and potentially quantify effects of recorded rainfall conditions on measured freeway traffic speed and flow. Therefore, an overview of the literature on the impact of weather conditions on traffic operations is presented in the next section. The third section describes the data that are used and presents a short description of the study area. In the fourth section, traffic speeds and flows are compared during hours with and without rain. The fifth section describes possible effects of rain on incident occurrence. The sixth and seventh sections illustrate results indicating the measured traffic speed and flow during different rainfall conditions. The final section summarizes the study and identifies directions for further research.

BACKGROUND

Relationships between weather conditions and traffic flow have been explored. For example, Holdener analyzed wet and dry speed data along I-290 in Houston, Texas. The evening speed differentials between wet and dry conditions ranged between 2 and 6 km/h (mean 5 km/h). Midday speed differentials ranged between 11 and 16 km/h (mean 13 km/h). The average measured wet speeds were 0 to 38 km/h lower (mean 14 km/h) than average dry speeds during the peak period (7). Kyte et al. studied the effect of pavement conditions, wind speeds and visibility on free flow speeds on a rural Interstate freeway in Idaho. That analysis revealed a significant decrease in free flow speed during inclement weather. The mean passenger car speed was about 13 km/h lower with a higher standard deviation on a bad weather day than for a good weather day (8). A study by Agarwal et al. examined apparent impacts of rain on “capacity,” revealing that very light rain (less than 0.25 mm/hour) reduced capacity by 1–3% and light rain (0.25–6.35 mm/hour) was associated with a 5–10% capacity decrease. Similarly, Agarwal et al. reported measured speed decreases during rain of 1–2% (for trace rain), 2–4% (light rain), and 4–7% (heavy rain) (9). An FHWA study in three major metropolitan areas in the U.S. (Minneapolis/St. Paul, MN, Seattle, WA, and Baltimore, MD) found that light rain resulted in measurable free flow speed and “capacity” decreases of 2–4% and 10–11%, respectively (10). Chung et al. (11) reported observed free flow speed decreases of 5% in light rain and 8% in heavy rain in Tokyo, Japan. According to the HCM, light rain does not have a notable effect on speed until water accumulates on the pavement. For light rain, the HCM reports observed decreases of free flow speed about 1.6 km/h. For heavy rain, the observed free flow speed decrease was 3.2–4.8 km/h (12). Rakha et al. (13) analyzed the impact of inclement weather (precipitation and visibility) on measured traffic parameters, noting reductions in free flow speed and speed at capacity with increasing precipitation intensity. They also reported that neither jam density nor capacity were
affected by weather conditions. The strategies that transportation management agencies could employ during adverse weather involve modifying ramp metering rates, providing warnings, and issuing improved travel and routing information. However, there are still questions about the relationship between weather and traffic flow. Practitioners still need tools to aid in deploying operational strategies and traffic management techniques in response to inclement weather (14).

DATA

In order to simultaneously analyze weather and traffic conditions, three data sources were used: hourly weather data, 20-sec traffic data, and reported incident data. These sources are described below in the context of the Portland Oregon Regional Transportation Archive Listing (PORTAL). The hourly weather data available limited the power of the conclusions that could be drawn in this analysis.

Traffic Data

In partnership with the Oregon Department of Transportation (ODOT), PORTAL archives data from 671 inductive loop detectors that compose the Portland region’s advanced traffic management system (ATMS). These detectors were initially deployed as part of a comprehensive ramp metering system. Therefore, dual mainline loops are located just upstream of on-ramp locations, and the on-ramps themselves are also instrumented. The mean detector spacing is approximately 1.2 miles (1.9 km). At 20-second intervals, each loop detector records vehicle count, average speed of these vehicles, and occupancy, or percentage of the sample period when a vehicle was over the detector (15). This study used hourly aggregated mean traffic flow and average speed data over all lanes measured at fixed points. The study area includes three locations on northbound Interstate 5 in Portland, Oregon, at mileposts 302.5 (Broadway), 305.12 (Portland Blvd.), and 307.9 (Jantzen Beach) (See Figure 1). At milepost 302.5 the freeway has two lanes, and at mileposts 305.12 and 307.9 the freeway is three lanes wide including a High Occupancy Vehicle (HOV) lane operating between 15:00 and 18:00 on weekdays. Data from the HOV lane were not included in the analysis. This study uses data measured over a total of three years (2005, 2006 and 2007) which includes about 26,000 hours.

FIGURE 1 Study Area Map
Incident Data

Portland’s ATMS also includes a comprehensive incident management system, which records information about all reported incidents on Portland’s freeway system. PORTAL archives the type of incident, the lanes that were blocked as a result of the incident, and the start and end time of the incident (15), among other parameters. A total of three years of incident data, which includes about 2,700 incident records in the selected area from milepost 300 to 307.9, were also used in this study.

Weather Data

PORTAL archives hourly data from the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) including:

- Precipitation: type and intensity
- Wind: direction and speed
- Visibility: up to and including 10 statute miles

More details about how each of the above mentioned weather variables are observed and reported through the Automated Surface Observing System can be found in (16). This analysis uses hourly precipitation data from Portland International Airport, which is the closest weather station to the selected study sites (about 4 miles (6.4 km) away). It is recognized that the hourly data constrains the potential results of this study. First, each hour was categorized as either “no rain” or “rain.” A total of 17,301 hours on weekdays during 2005–2007 were observed. Despite the fact that there is no consensus on how to classify rainfall intensity, each “rain” hour was further subdivided into four categories: very light rain, light rain, moderate rain and heavy rain (see Table 1), based on hourly total rainfall during the weekdays observed. It should be emphasized that these hourly values do not measure “true” intensity since we do not know during which portions of the hour the rainfall actually occurred. Heavy rainfall was removed from the analysis because of the small number of observations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rainfall Conditions</th>
<th>Intensity (in/hr)</th>
<th>Total Number of Hours</th>
<th>Percent of Observations</th>
<th>Hours Influenced by Incidents</th>
<th>Percent of Observations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>17,301</td>
<td>2,336</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No Rain</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>15,402</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>2,013</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rain</td>
<td>1,899</td>
<td>323</td>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very Light</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>692</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Light</td>
<td>0.01-0.04</td>
<td>709</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>0.04-0.16</td>
<td>459</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heavy</td>
<td>&gt; 0.16</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Portland lies within the Marine west coast climate zone. Mean precipitation in Portland is 37 inches (940 mm) per year. Rainfall occurred during 1,899 hours on weekdays in 2005–2007. So using classifications shown in Table 1, during the weekdays observed, the average rainfall during about 36% of the hours observed would be classified “very light rain.” Average rainfall during about 37% of the observed hours was considered “light rain.”

COMPARING FLOW AND SPEED WITH AND WITHOUT RAIN

As an initial step, Figure 2 shows the average hourly flows and speeds measured with rain and with no rain. Figure 2(a) shows that the average flows during hours with no rain were 10-139 vph higher than the hourly flows measured during rainy conditions. This was true over all hours of the day. Figure 2(b) similarly shows that the average speeds during hours with no rain conditions were 0-4 mph higher than average speeds during rainy conditions. This was true during all hours except for hour 16. At the locations studied, congestion typically began at about 15:00 and continued through 18:00. Since speed is quite sensitive to flow in the congested regime, one cannot merely compare median/average speeds during rainy conditions with dry conditions without considering flow variations and its impacts on speed. We believe this is the case for hour 16. Further analysis during congested periods is described later in this paper.
FIGURE 2 Average Flow (a) and Speed (b) for Milepost 302.5 During All Weekday Hours

Some previous research has suggested that data used in studies assessing weather impacts on traffic should be outside the influence of any incidents (10, 13). Therefore, after fusing the traffic and weather data into a single dataset that contained date, time, flow, speed, precipitation, wind speed, and visibility for each 1-hour time interval, the possible effects of incidents on hourly average speeds and flows during dry and rainy conditions were studied. As noted above, a total of three years of incident data, which includes 2,768 incident records in the selected area from milepost 300 to 307.9, were used in this study. If an incident was reported during an hour and at or downstream of a milepost, that hour is considered as an influenced hour by an incident for that milepost. A total of 2,336 hours were identified as being potentially influenced by incidents.

Once the hours containing incidents were identified and removed from the dataset, a total of 14,965 hours were left in the dataset. Of these, 1,576 hours were rainy and 13,389 hours were dry. Flows and speeds measured during dry and rainy hours were then compared, as shown in Figure 3. It appears that the average flows during all weekday dry hours (including those influenced by incidents) were 0-35 vph lower than during dry hours not influenced by incidents. This may be due to the effects of incidents blocking freeway lanes. For rainy hours, the average flows during all weekday rainy hours were 0-65 vph lower than rainy hours not influenced by incident.
Average speeds during all weekday dry hours (including those influenced by incidents) were essentially equal to speeds during dry hours not influenced by incidents. Also, for most of the hours throughout the day, the average speeds during all weekday rainy hours (including those influenced by incidents) were essentially the same as speeds measured during rainy hours not influenced by incidents. However, when an incident occurs and congested conditions begin, it is difficult to explain the differences between measured speeds since we could not distinguish whether the reduction in speed is primarily due to rain, incidents or recurrent congestion. Therefore, the authors have avoided drawing any conclusions implying that speeds are independent of the presence of incidents.

Overall, it appears that the presence of incidents slightly influences the analysis of the effects due to rain on measured traffic flow while no considerable influence on measured traffic speed was observed.

**FIGURE 3** Average Flow (a) and Speed (b) for Milepost 302.5 During All Weekday Hours and Hours Without Incident Influence in Different Weather Conditions
POSSIBLE EFFECTS OF RAINFALL ON INCIDENT OCCURRENCE

Using the 2,768 reported incident records, possible effects of rain on incident occurrence were assessed. The total number of incidents (including all types of incidents) per average 1,000 vehicle miles traveled (VMT) under different weather conditions on the selected freeway between milepost 300 and 307.9 on weekdays in 2005–2007 were plotted. Figure 4 first shows the incident rate under no rain and rainy conditions for hours preceded by 3 hours of rain and by no rain. The incident rate under no rain conditions for hours preceded by 3 consecutive hours of rain and no rain is similar while for rainy conditions the reported incident rate was about 35% higher for hours preceded by 3 consecutive hours of rain than the hours preceded by no rain. Breaking the rainy conditions into different rainfall categories, the incident rate under moderate rain conditions is higher than very light and light rain conditions. Also the incident rate in all rainfall categories for hours preceded by 3 hours of rain is higher than during the hours preceded by no rain. The implication of the possible effect of continuous rain and water accumulation on the pavement on the number of incidents may be revealed by Figure 4.

FIGURE 4 Number of Incidents per Average 1,000 VMT Under Different Rainfall Conditions

EXAMINING TRAFFIC DATA IN DIFFERENT RAINFALL CATEGORIES

Analyzing Hourly Traffic and Rainfall Data

Figure 5 shows the median speed and the median flow for each hour of the day for different rainfall conditions using data measured from milepost 302.5 (excluding hours influenced by incidents, weekends and holidays). Similar analyses were conducted at the two other sites. Each set of four vertical bars also includes a set of vertical “whiskers” indicating the measured plus/minus one standard deviation of the speed. Using the right hand y-axis the mean rainfall value during each particular hour in each rainfall category is also shown as a line plot in the figure. Depending on the time of day and quantity of measured precipitation, differences of less than 10 mph in median traffic speeds and differences less than 190 vph in median flows were observed. With increasing traffic flow, larger differences in median speeds and median flows were observed. Also in the categories with larger amounts of rain the speed and flow differences were larger. At the northbound I-5 locations studied here, typically at about 15:00 each weekday afternoon, congested conditions prevail. Beginning at 15:00 and continuing through 18:00, differences between median speeds and median flows during different rainfall conditions are visible but more difficult to explain. Measured speeds and flows may vary due to a wide range of factors including congestion, rain or other influences. Table 2 summarizes the key results of this section.
FIGURE 5 Median Speeds (a) and Median Flows (b) for Different Rainfall Conditions During Hours Without Incident Influence
TABLE 2 Differences in Median Speeds and Median Flows Under Different Rainfall Categories Compared to No Rain During Uncongested Hours

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Very light rain</th>
<th>Light rain</th>
<th>Moderate rain</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Median speed (mph)</td>
<td>Median flow (vph)</td>
<td>Median speed (mph)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0:00–3:00</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0–30</td>
<td>0–1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4:00–8:00</td>
<td>0–2</td>
<td>10–110</td>
<td>1–2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9:00–14:00</td>
<td>1–4</td>
<td>50–90</td>
<td>2–8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19:00–23:00</td>
<td>0–2</td>
<td>5–60</td>
<td>0–2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Testing Differences Between Rainfall Categories

The previous section presented an analysis of the differences in mean speeds and flows during different rainfall categories. A statistical test was used to determine whether differences between the groups of sampled data were significant. The non-parametric Kruskal-Wallis test was used to compare three or more independent groups of sampled data. This test is an alternative to the independent group ANOVA, when the assumption of normality or equality of variance is not met. If the resulting p-value is small, we can reject the idea that the differences are all coincidental. With small p-values there may be evidence to conclude that there is a difference among the four classes of rainfall according to measured speeds. However the hourly weather and traffic data used limit the power of the conclusions as subhourly variations of weather and traffic are not captured.

![P-values from Kruskal-Wallis Test](image)

FIGURE 6 P-values from Kruskal-Wallis Test for (a) Median Flows and (b) Median Speeds

Towards this end, Figure 6(a) shows the p-values for the Kruskal-Wallis test for each hour of the day for the median flows. As shown, the results indicate that there is a significant difference among the measured flows during the four classes of rainfall except during hours 1–3, 15–17, and 22–23. The difference between median flows measured during hours with different rainfall conditions can be said to be statistically significant except during overnight hours and during the afternoon peak hours as discussed above. Figure 6(b) shows the p-values of the Kruskal-Wallis test for each hour of the day for median speeds. The difference between median speeds under different rainfall categories can therefore be considered to be statistically significant except between hours 0–2, 8 and 15–17, as expected and discussed above. Similar analyses conducted at the two other locations showed similar trends with slight differences in speed and flow magnitudes.

Probabilistic Analysis of Traffic and Rain Data

As mentioned above, the differences among measured hourly median speeds and median flows in periods with different rainfall conditions were not statistically significant during particular hours in the early morning and afternoon periods. During hours with potential for recurrent congestion, differences in speed and flow may have been due to a range of factors including congestion, rain or other influences. In order to explore this, Figure 7 shows the distribution of one minus the cumulative frequency of average speeds separately for hours with rain (all the levels of rainfall intensities combined together) and without rain during afternoon hours 15:00–18:00 at milepost 302.5. For a given hour of the day, one can look for the point where the blue line (hours with rain) crosses below the
orange line (hours with no rain) and remains below it. In Figures 7(a), 7(b), and 7(c) for hours 15, 16, and 17 this appears to be at approximately 40 mph. Hours without rain are more likely to have an average speed greater than or equal to 40 mph than hours with rain. In other words, during hours with rain there is a lower probability of measuring high average speeds. Since the two lines meet at 40 mph, rain can be said to be associated with a higher probability of congestion only if the defined congestion threshold is above 40 mph. For example from the Figure 7(c), the probability of measuring a speed greater than or equal to 50 mph during hours with rain is only about 15%, while during hours with no rain that probability is about 27% for hour 17. Figure 7(d) shows the one-minus cumulative frequency distribution for average speeds in hour 18 toward the end of the peak. It shows that during hours with rain the probability of having any speed is equal or very slightly smaller than during hours without rain.

![Graphs showing one-minus cumulative frequency distribution for average speeds in no rain and rain conditions for hours 15-18](image)

**FIGURE 7** One minus Cumulative Frequency Distribution for Average Speeds in No Rain and Rainy Conditions for Hours 15-18

Similarly, Figure 8 shows the distribution of one minus the cumulative frequency of flow for 15:00–18:00 separately for hours with rain and for hours with no measured rain. Hours without rain were more likely to contain slightly higher average flows than hours with rain. For example from Figure 8(c), the probability of measuring an average traffic flow greater than or equal to 1,400 vph during an hour with rain is about 28% while that probability during an hour with no rain was about 53% in hour 17.
Marginal differences in measured hourly speeds and flows may reveal different traffic conditions at a macroscopic level during rain conditions. This has implications for the "fundamental diagram." Thus a macroscopic analysis is conducted here to assess the magnitude of the differences among macroscopic traffic characteristics under different rainfall intensities. Figure 9, Part (a) shows a scatter plot of a speed-flow relation for the four rainfall categories. The mean of the highest 5% of observed flow during hours with very light rain, light rain, and moderate rain conditions decreases by about 40 vph, 90 vph, and 140 vph respectively as compared to hours with no rain. A negligible decrease in “free flow speed” (less than 1 mph) was observed under moderate rain conditions. The “free flow speeds” during other rainfall categories were nearly constant.

BIVARIATE ANALYSIS OF CONGESTED HOURS

To better understand the traffic features under rainfall in congested hours, a bivariate analysis has been conducted. For each of the hours between 15 and 18, seven sample rainy hours and seven sample not rainy hours were randomly selected. 5-minute aggregated average speeds and flows have been used in order to explore subhourly variations in traffic under different weather conditions. Figure 9, Part (b) shows box plots for the average flows in different average speed bins for no rain and rainy conditions. As seen below, for similar speed bins the median flows under...
rainy conditions appear consistently smaller than the median flows under no rain conditions except for a very few cases which may be a product of the small number of observations. For lower speeds during most hours, a smaller difference between median flows in rainy and no rain conditions could be observed than higher speeds (except during hour 17). We believe the case for hour 17 is due to the small number of observations and the use of hourly weather data which is a limitation of this study.

![Bivariate Speed-Flow Plot for Different Rainfall Intensities](image)

Part (a) Bivariate Speed-Flow Plot for Different Rainfall Intensities

![Graphs of Speed vs. Flow for Different Intervals](image)

(a) Hour 15  
(b) Hour 16

\( n = 14,965 \) hours
CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, possible effects of rain on measured traffic parameters at an hourly level of resolution were studied. Using three years’ of measured traffic data and reported incident data on northbound Interstate 5 in Portland, Oregon from milepost 300 to 307.9 on weekdays in 2005–2007, it is shown that incident rates were higher for hours preceded by 3 consecutive hours of rain than hours preceded by no rain. Also, a higher incident rate was observed in moderate rain conditions than light rain and very light rain conditions. In addition, results show that the presence of incidents may slightly influence the analysis of the effects due to rain on measured traffic flow while no discernable influence on measured traffic speed was observed.

This study examined hourly traffic and weather data at three locations over three years and found some potentially notable differences in traffic parameters (speed and flow) during different categories of rainfall. The results reported in this paper are from a single freeway location (milepost 302.5). The other two study sites revealed similar results. Depending on the time of day, quantity of measured precipitation, and location, differences of less than 10 mph in median traffic speeds and differences less than 190 vph in median flows were observed. The differences among measured speeds and flows in different rainfall conditions for certain overnight and peak (congested) periods were not statistically significant, apparently due to the confounding effects of overnight loop detector speed errors and recurrent congestion during peak periods.

Further analysis using an hour-by-hour probabilistic approach was performed in order to analyze weather and traffic data during congested time periods. It was found that the probability of having higher speeds and flows in hours with rain was lower than during hours with no rain for congested time periods.

The results of a preliminary bivariate analysis showed that the 95% highest flow decreased by about 40–140 vph during hours with rainfall. A negligible decrease in free flow speed with increases in precipitation was also observed. To capture subhourly variations in weather, higher resolution data (5-minute intervals) were used in a preliminary analysis with a very small sample size. Using box plots, it was found that for similar speed bins, median flows under rainy conditions were consistently smaller than median flows in no rain conditions except for a small
number of cases which may be a product of small number of observations. For slower speeds, a smaller difference between median flows in rainy and no rain conditions is observed than higher speeds.

Additional work using bivariate analysis tools and higher resolution weather and traffic data is needed to study the effects of rain on speed and flow during congested periods more deeply. Research may also be required to assess the effects of other weather variables such as wind speed and visibility on traffic flow. In the context of intelligent transportation systems, analyses such as these may lead to improved weather-responsive applications in traffic management and information. The strategies that transportation management agencies could employ during adverse weather involve modifying ramp metering rates, providing warnings, and issuing improved travel time and routing information. As a next step, effects of adverse weather on travel time variability should also be studied.

Disseminating more reliable travel times to travelers when it is rainy, being aware of speed and capacity reductions during bad weather on freeways to program more efficient freeway management systems, identifying the effectiveness of ramp metering under varying weather conditions and weather-responsive signal timing on arterials are just some of the applications of weather-responsive traffic management and information systems.
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